

#### "Changing our World One Drop at a Time"

#### **Waterford Waterway Management District**

Board of Commissioners Meeting Minutes October 16, 2010

#### 1. Call to Order

Chairman Richard Kosut called the meeting to order at 10:00 AM at the Waterford Town Hall, Waterford, WI. Commissioners present: Brian Marx, Barbara Baron, Don Baron, Andres Peekna, and John Bostrom. Absent was Kelly Cornelius.

#### 2. Approval of Minutes

Motion to approve the minutes of the Aug 19, 2010 Board of Commissioners meeting were made by Cmr B. Baron and seconded by Cmr D. Baron Vote: 6 Yes 0 No Minutes on file.

On Sept 23 there was a special meeting held to review/approve the proposal of a feasibility study for dredging by the Bonestroo Co. After reviewing the proposal and a lengthy discussion, Cmr Peekna made a motion to approve the feasibility study proposed by the Bonestroo Co. It was seconded by Cmr Cornelius,

Vote: 7 Yes 0 No

However, after a discussion with the DNR preceding that meeting, it was advised that the motion be rescinded. Cmr Peekna rescinded the motion and it was seconded by Cmr B.Baron

Vote: 6 Yes 0 No

#### 3. Correspondence/Chairman's Report

Nothing to report.

#### 4. Treasurer's Report/Bill Payment Approval

Cmr Marx submitted a detailed report for Oct 2010

Motion was made to approve the Treasure's Report by Cmr Bostrom seconded by Cmr D. Baron.

Reports on file Vote: 6 Yes 0 No

\$500.00 John Bjork - Rain Garden Program Reimbursement

\$6300.00 Chills – Grand Dr.

Motion to approve payment of the above bills was made by Cmr B. Baron and

seconded by Cmr Bostrom Vote: 6 Yes 0 No

#### 5. Project Updates

A. Grand Drive

This project is complete.

B. Pontoon Classroom

Cmr B Baron proposed doing the classroom for the students every 2 years instead of every year. Otherwise the possibility exists that the same students would participate.

C. <u>District History</u> Nothing to report

#### 6. Information, Education & Marketing

A. Information and Education

Nothing to report

B. Public Relations

Cmr D. Baron mentioned that he has been in contact with Paul Ryan's office and they said they would set up a meeting with the WWMD the next time they were in the area to discuss our future project and get us some potential help.

#### 7. Legislative/Waterway Ordinance

See attached report. Cmr Peekna has discussed the wakeboarding enhanced wave issue with the Waterford Chief of police. See attached email. The chief also agreed that tabling of the possible ordinance until after the dredging project was complete was a good idea.

#### 8. Aquatic Plant, Navigation & Hydrology

Cmr Bostrom has done some research on the Bonestroo which is one of the companies the WWMD is looking to use as the consultant and project manager for the dredging project. He has a report that includes their successes and what they have done in regards to other projects that looks very impressive.

Cmr Bostrom had a 2 hour meeting with the DNR discussing some the needs for getting started on the project. Also attending the meeting were Chairman Kosut, Cmr D.Baron and FRC Cmr Jim Pindel

#### 9. Executive Committee

Nothing to report.

#### 10. Old business

- A. C.A.U.S.E. Nothing to report
- B. FRC Nothing to report. Their next meeting is Oct 22nd

#### 11. New Business

Cmr D.Baron made a motion for the purchase of more shelving units for the WWMD storage not to exceed \$50.00. It was seconded by Cmr Bostrom Vote: 6 Yes 0 No

#### 12. Opportunity for public to address WWMD Commissioners

Dave Allesee talked about his plans to build a restaurant/bar on the river and was looking for the WWMD's endorsement. Chairman Kosut said that the WWMD would consider writing a recommendation.

There were also other discussions around aquatic plant spraying.

Respectfully Submitted,

Brian R. Marx, Secretary

Meeting Guests October 16, 2010

Gary Schultz	5962 N. Riverbay Rd	895-3061
Pat Kosut	240 Marina Ct.	514-2413
Lynn Stanley	5962 N. Riverbay Rd	895-3061
Melissa Martin	6515 Willow Ct.	662-1244
Roy Burlingame	6507 Willow Ct.	662-3553
Valerie Bostrom	6609 Riverside Rd	534-6903
Dave Allesee	5946 N. River Bay Rd	895-2459
Cynthia Kling	5718 Island View Ct	514-3429
Gary Bluemel	7154 Joy Marie	414-322-5106

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

From: "Innovative Mechanics, Inc." <innmech@wi.rr.com>

**Date:** Wed, 13 Oct 2010 15:35:07 -0500

To: Tom Ditscheit<tditscheit@waterfordpd.com>

**Subject:** RE: Artificially enhanced wakes, and the dredging project

Chief Ditscheit:

Thanks for your feedback; it is much appreciated. May I forward this to the other commissioners?

In our meeting on August 2, I recall you having printouts of comments during the flooding. How do I access these comments, via the web? Or could you email the relevant ones to me? Very best regards,
Andres Peekna

At 10:47 AM 10/13/2010, you wrote:

#### Andres,

You put a lot of thought into this, and I have to agree with your assessment of the situation. Additionally, the wake-boarding issue may very well work itself out if discussions continue among those with wake-board boats, and they continue to realize the impact they are having on others. Peer pressure works. If they use common sense and courtesy, the need to implement legal restrictions would end.

As a reminder, wake-board boats did adversely affect shorelines on Tichigan Lake - see the comments I recorded during the flooding.

Tom Ditscheit, Chief of Police Town of Waterford Police Department 415 N. Milwaukee St. Waterford, WI 53185 (262) 534-2119

----Original Message-----

From: "Innovative Mechanics, Inc." <innmech@wi.rr.com>

Sent: Sunday, October 3, 2010 9:07pm

To: rkosut@wi.rr.com, bmarx@wi.rr.com, djb@prewardsltd.com, barbara@prewardsltd.com,

johnbostrom@hobostrom.com, kelly\_cornelius2003@yahoo.com

Cc: rhardy2@wi.rr.com, tditscheit@waterfordpd.com

Subject: Artificially enhanced wakes, and the dredging project

#### Dear fellow commissioners:

As most of us have day jobs, it has become common practice for many of us to only communicate a few days before our monthly meetings. This has been OK.

In this case, the issues raised in the attachment require more thought than is available under high time pressure. That is why I sent it early. Please give it due thought, and let me know yours.

Very best regards, Andres Peekna

Tel/fax: (262) 895-4790

### Artificially enhanced wakes; where do we go from here?

Andres Peekna WWMD Legislative Committee 2010-10-3 Tel/fax: (262) 895-4790

The issue has been raised, and published in the July 2010 issue of "Water Talk" There has been some feedback, but by less than a handful of people on both sides. Some preferred to remain anonymous, but all were constructive. Two examples: Report of a wake overtopping 3-foot-high riprap catches one's attention, as does a wakeboard boat passing the end of a dock by about 10 feet. On the other hand, a wakeboarder called attention to the fact that dedicated wakeboard boats are very expensive, reaching \$50,000. Thus, an ordinance banning operation of their boats at full capacity on our waterway would require them to take a disproportionate hit.

Starting from here, one option would be to seek further feedback. No decision should be based on statistics of less than a handful on either side; such can serve as clues but not as basis for a decision. A public hearing would clarify matters. A problem that raises is that people's opinions would be made public. Dick Kosut and I encountered several instances in which people had strong opinions, but wished to preserve their privacy. A public hearing could create an "us vs. them" atmosphere, in which some people would be reluctant to speak up. Anonymous polling via mail or internet have their own problems, and I do not think they merit our attention.

Meanwhile, the restoration of our waterway, primarily via dredging, is becoming a realistic possibility. It appears that all should unite in pursuit of this common goal, and not raise any "us vs. them" issues at this time.

Moreover, success of the dredging project would open up more areas for wakeboarding, with full wakes. The current problem is that most wakeboarding has occurred in the narrow downstream region of our waterway, below River Bend. There are reports that at least one wakeboarder has begun going to the wider Tichigan Lake before filling ballast tanks. This is a step in the right direction, though some may argue that Tichigan Lake would also be too narrow. From the middle of Conservancy Bay, the shores would be more distant. With current muck levels, wakeboard boats cannot operate there. But once the muck were to be dredged out, this would probably change.

In view of all of the above, my own inclination is to recommend tabling any restrictions against artificially enhanced wakes, until at least starting the dredging project, at Conservancy Bay. This would certainly give all parties more options. Meanwhile, we can advocate common courtesy between all boaters, such as being aware of the effect of any wake on other boaters, and "slow traffic keep right", as was suggested by a person at our annual meeting.

Such are my opinions, but I would very much like to see/hear yours. I think that in order not to hold up the Waterway Restoration Project, we would serve it well by issuing a clarification on the potentially divisive matter of artificially generated wakes. Dredging (and deepening) Conservancy Bay is likely to garner support from the wakeboard community.

Please let me know your thoughts before our next meeting 2010-10-16, so I could draft a brief position paper on this subject, subject to approval if so desired.

10:23 PM 11/17/10 Accrual Basis

# Waterford Waterway Management District Balance Sheet

As of November 20, 2010

	Nov 20, 10
ASSETS	
Current Assets Checking/Savings	
Equitable Bank - Checking Equitable Bank - MM	901.81 54,855.09
•	
Total Checking/Savings	55,756.90
Total Current Assets	55,756.90
TOTAL ASSETS	55,756.90
LIABILITIES & EQUITY Liabilities Long Term Liabilities	
Conservancy Bay Project	18,000.00
<b>Total Long Term Liabilities</b>	18,000.00
Total Liabilities	18,000.00
Equity  Conservancy Bay Project 1	-18,000.00
Opening Bal Equity	3,477.82
Unrestrict (retained earnings) Net Income	65,423.03
Net income	-13,143.95
Total Equity	37,756.90
TOTAL LIABILITIES & EQUITY	55,756.90

10:27 PM 11/17/10 **Accrual Basis** 

## **Waterford Waterway Management District** Expenses by Vendor Detail October 16 through November 20, 2010

Туре	Date	Num	Memo	Account	Class	Amount	Balance
Chils							
Check	10/28/2010	1490	Grand Dr	Project Expense	Grand Drive Project	6,300.00	6,300.00
Total Chils						6,300.00	6,300.00
Crispell-Snyder,	Inc						
Check	10/28/2010	1492	Grand Dr	Project Expense	Grand Drive Project	2,975.00	2,975.00
Total Crispell-Snyo	der, Inc					2,975.00	2,975.00
Dousan Transpor	rt						
Check	10/28/2010	1491	Buses for St Thomas - Pont	Project Expense	Pontoon Classroom	82.19	82.19
Total Dousan Tran	sport					82.19	82.19
Jean Lewis				D			
Check	11/17/2010		Copying expenses for history	Printing and Copying	General	66.61	66.61
Total Jean Lewis						66.61	66.61
Kelly Cornelius				5 5			
Check	10/28/2010	1489	Raingarden Program Reimb	Project Expense	Rain Garden Program	500.00	500.00
Total Kelly Corneli	us					500.00	500.00
North Cape Scho	ol						
Check	10/28/2010	1493	Reimbersment for Buses for	Project Expense	Pontoon Classroom	119.14	119.14
Total North Cape S	School					119.14	119.14
TAL						10,042.94	10,042.94

10:29 PM 11/17/10 Accrual Basis

# Waterford Waterway Management District Income Detail

As of November 20, 2010

Туре	Date	Name	Memo	Class	Clr	Split	Amount	Balance
Ordinary Income/Expe	nse							
Income								
Other								
Interest Inco	-							
Deposit	9/30/2010		Interest	General		Equitable Bank	39.74	39.74
Total Interest	Income						39.74	39.74
Sales of WW	MD Merchandis	e						
Deposit	10/15/2010	Cash	Map Sale			Equitable Bank	5.00	5.00
Total Sales of	WWMD Mercha	andise				-	5.00	5.00
Total Other							44.74	44.74
Special Charge	Revenue							
Deposit	10/15/2010	Town of Waterford	August payment			Equitable Bank	2,340.00	2,340.00
Total Special Cha	arge Revenue					-	2,340.00	2,340.00
Total Income						-	2,384.74	2,384.74
Net Ordinary Income						-	2,384.74	2,384.74
et Income						_	2,384.74	2,384.74

### Grand Drive 2010-2011

Income:

Amount Budgeted: \$21,000.00 \$0.00

Donation
Total Income for Project: \$21,000.00

Date	Check #	Amount	Balance Available	Balance Spent	Vendor	Invoice#
4/2/2009	1383	\$ 949.97	\$20,050.03	\$949.97	Crispell-Snyder	
7/16/2010	1474	\$3,910.00	\$16,140.03	\$4,859.97	Crispell-Snyder	
7/18/2010	1475	\$50.00	\$16,090.03	\$4,909.97	DNR Permit	
9/23/2010	1487	\$510.00	\$15,580.03	\$5,419.97	Crispell-Snyder	
10/3/2010	1488	\$1,105.00	\$14,475.03	\$6,524.97	Crispell-Snyder	
9/23/2010	1483	\$24.48	\$14,450.55	\$6,549.45	Southern Lakes	
10/28/2010	1492	\$ 2,975.00	\$11,475.55	\$9,524.45	Crispell-Snyder	
10/28/2010	1490	\$ 6,300.00	\$5,175.55	\$15,824.45	Chills	
			\$5,175.55	\$15,824.45		
			\$5,175.55	\$15,824.45		
			\$5,175.55	\$15,824.45		
			\$5,175.55	\$15,824.45		
			\$5,175.55	\$15,824.45		
			\$5,175.55	\$15,824.45		
			\$5,175.55	\$15,824.45		
			\$5,175.55	\$15,824.45		
			\$5,175.55	\$15,824.45		

Project Name Pontoon Classroom

Year 2010-2011

Income:

Amount Budgeted: \$4,500.00

Total Income for Project: \$4,500.00

			Balance	Balance		
Date	Check #	Amount	Available	Spent	Vendor	Invoice#
9/10/2010	1436	\$ 720.00	\$3,780.00	\$720.00	Gooseberries	
9/23/2010	1484	\$ 460.00	\$3,320.00	\$1,180.00	Porta John	
9/23/2010	1486	\$ 893.77	\$2,426.23	\$2,073.77	Prewards	
9/23/2010	1482	\$ 252.00	\$2,174.23	\$2,325.77	Richard Kosut	
10/28/2010	1491	\$ 82.19	\$2,092.04	\$2,407.96	Dousman Transport	
10/28/2010	1493	\$ 119.14	\$1,972.90	\$2,527.10	North Cape Schools	
			\$1,972.90	\$2,527.10		
			\$1,972.90	\$2,527.10		
			\$1,972.90	\$2,527.10		
			\$1,972.90	\$2,527.10		
			\$1,972.90	\$2,527.10		
			\$1,972.90	\$2,527.10		
			\$1,972.90	\$2,527.10		
			\$1,972.90	\$2,527.10		
			\$1,972.90	\$2,527.10		
			\$1,972.90	\$2,527.10		
			\$1,972.90	\$2,527.10		